

Practicum for participants

- Instructions
- Option 1: Analysis of a judgment
- Option 2: Reflection on a law or judgment
- Option 3: Analysis of a law or draft law
- Option 4: Comparative analysis

Instructions

- Choose **one** from the four options provided
- While page limits have been suggested, they are not mandatory. We advise you to try and stick to the page limit to help yourself and the team with managing the task.
- During the workshop, you would be introduced to the other participants who have chosen the same practicum exercise as you. You can self organise on a medium that the group is comfortable with to seek support and discuss the exercise.
- All submissions should be emailed to challenge@apc.org with the subject line Internet Rules Practicum: (Option) (Name).
- Please send us your submissions no later than **4 December 2020**.

Option 1: Analysis of a judgment

Develop a 2-3 page analysis of a judgment in the following format:

- Country
- Court
- Title of case
- Citation or link to judgment
- Facts of the case
- Main arguments from the lawyers
- Decision of the court
- Observations of the court

Option 2: Reflection on a law or judgment

Develop a 2 page article which captures your reflections, based on your field of work, on a law or judgment relating to ICTs. This could cover what the law or judgment is about with a significant focus on your concerns or appreciation for the document.

Option 3: Analysis of a law or draft law

Develop a 2 page analysis of a law/draft in your country with a focus on a maximum of three provisions in the law/draft. Incorporate the following headings:

- What is the law about?
- What are the main provisions of concern?
- What are the problems with each of the provisions chosen?

Option 4: Comparative analysis

Develop a 2-3 page analysis by comparing laws or drafts across two or more countries. This can also be a comparison of provisions dealing with an issue across the countries.